Case 3:17-cv-07357-RS Document 100 Filed 09/10/19 Page 1 of 37

1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California				
2	Attorney General of California ANTHONY R. HAKL				
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General Noreen P. Skelly				
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 186135				
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255				
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-6057				
7	Fax: (916) 324-8835 E-mail: Noreen.Skelly@doj.ca.gov				
8	Attorneys for Defendant Attorney General Xavie Becerra	r			
9	IN THE UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT	COURT		
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
12					
13		1			
14	MICHAEL ZELENY, an individual,	CV 17-7357 J	ICS		
15	Plaintiff,		T ATTORNEY GENERAL CERRA'S ANSWER TO		
16	v.	PLAINTIFF	MICHAEL ZELENY'S MENDED COMPLAINT		
17	GAVIN NEWSOM, et al.,	Judge:	The Honorable Richard G.		
18 19	Defendants.	Action Filed: Trial Date:	Seeborg December 28, 2017 June 8, 2020		
20			0, 2020		
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
40					

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Xavier Becerra, in his official capacity as Attorney General of the State of California, hereby responds to Plaintiff Michael Zeleny's Second Amended Complaint as follows:

<u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 1. The allegations contained in paragraph 1 are Plaintiff Zeleny's characterization of his case, to which no answer is required. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact,

 Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 2. The allegations contained in paragraph 2 are Plaintiff Zeleny's characterization of his case, to which no answer is required. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact,

 Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 3. The allegations contained in paragraph 3 are Plaintiff Zeleny's characterization of his case, to which no answer is required. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact,

 Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 4. The allegations contained in paragraph 4 are Plaintiff Zeleny's characterization of his case, to which no answer is required. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact,

 Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 5. The allegations contained in paragraph 5 are Plaintiff Zeleny's characterization of his case, to which no answer is required. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact,

 Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 6. The allegations contained in paragraph 6 are Plaintiff Zeleny's characterization of his case, to which no answer is required. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact,

 Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

/ / /

27

- 7. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 7 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits that Plaintiff Zeleny has asserted claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Defendant Becerra denies that the California statutes Plaintiff Zeleny alleges were invoked or applied against him are unconstitutional. Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny has asserted any claims pursuant to the California Constitution. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 8. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 8 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny challenges the facial validity of California statutes restricting the public display of unloaded firearms, specifically California Penal Code sections 26400 and 26350. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 9. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 9 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits the content of the First, Second, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the federal Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1988. Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to any relief under the First, Second, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution or the federal Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1988.
- 10. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 10 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits the content of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.
- 11. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 11 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits the content of 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. Defendant Becerra denies Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to the requested declaratory judgment.
- 12. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 12 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits the content of 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and Rule 65 of the Federal

28

/ / /

/ / /

- 18. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 18 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits he is the Attorney General of the State of California.

 Defendant Becerra admits that he is a resident of California, and a citizen of the United States.
- 19. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 19 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 20. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 20 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 21. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 21 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra denies that he engaged in any of the unlawful acts and omissions alleged in the Second Amended Complaint. Indeed, the Second Amended Complaint lacks any allegations demonstrating any unlawful acts by Defendant Becerra. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 22. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 22 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 23. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 23 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

FACTS

- 24. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 24 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 25. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 25 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

26.	In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 26 of the Second Amended
Complaint,	Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
truth of the	allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

- 27. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 27 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 28. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 28 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 29. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 29 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 30. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 30 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 31. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 31 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 32. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 32 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 33. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 33 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 34. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 34 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

/ / /

- 35. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 35 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 36. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 36 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 37. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 37 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 38. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 38 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 39. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 39 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 40. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 40 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 41. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 41 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 42. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 42 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 43. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 43 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

/ / /

- 44. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 44 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 45. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 45 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 46. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 46 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 47. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 47 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 48. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 48 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 49. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 49 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 50. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 50 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 51. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 51 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 52. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 52 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

- 53. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 53 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 54. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 54 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 55. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 55 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 56. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 56 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 57. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 57 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 58. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 58 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 59. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 59 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 60. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 60 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 61. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 61 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

- 62. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 62 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 63. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 63 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 64. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 64 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 65. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 65 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 66. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 66 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 67. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 67 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 68. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 68 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 69. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 69 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 70. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 70 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

- 71. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 71 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 72. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 72 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 73. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 73 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 74. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 74 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 75. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 75 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 76. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 76 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 77. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 77 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 78. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 78 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 79. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 79 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

- 80. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 80 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 81. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 81 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 82. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 82 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 83. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 83 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 84. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 84 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 85. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 85 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 86. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 86 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 87. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 87 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 88. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 88 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

- 89. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 89 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 90. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 90 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 91. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 91 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 92. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 92 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 93. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 93 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 94. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 94 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 95. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 95 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 96. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 96 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 97. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 97 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

/ / /

- 98. The allegations contained in paragraph 98 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 99. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 99 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 100. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 100 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 101. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 101 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 102. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 102 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits that California Penal Code §§ 26350 and 26405 generally prohibit persons from openly carrying unloaded firearms, subject to some exceptions. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 103. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 103 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits that California Penal Code §§ 26350 and 26405 generally prohibit persons from openly carrying unloaded firearms, subject to some exceptions. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 104. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 104 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits that California Penal Code § 26350 generally prohibits persons from openly carrying unloaded firearms, subject to some exceptions. As to the remaining

allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

- 105. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 105 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits the content of California Penal Code § 26375. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 106. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 106 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits the content of California Penal Code § 26400. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 107. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 107 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits the content of California Penal Code § 26405(r). As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 108. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 108 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits the content of California Penal Code § 25510. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 109. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 109 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 110. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 110 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 111. The allegations contained in paragraph 111 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or

information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

- 112. The allegations contained in paragraph 112 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 113. The allegations contained in paragraph 113 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 114. The allegations contained in paragraph 114 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 115. The allegations contained in paragraph 115 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 116. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 116 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra denies suppressing or threatening to suppress Plaintiff Zeleny's lawful exercise of his Constitutional rights. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

/ / /

- 117. The allegations contained in paragraph 117 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 118. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 118 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 119. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 119 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 120. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 120 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 121. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 121 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 122. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 122 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 123. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 123 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 124. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 124 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

27 / / /

28 / / /

- 125. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 125 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 126. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 126 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 127. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 127 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 128. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 128 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 129. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 129 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 130. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 130 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 131. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 131 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 132. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 132 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 133. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 133 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

- 134. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 134 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 135. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 135 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 136. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 136 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 137. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 137 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 138. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 138 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 139. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 139 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 140. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 140 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 141. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 141 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 142. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 142 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

- 143. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 143 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 144. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 144 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 145. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 145 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 146. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 146 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 147. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 147 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 148. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 148 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 149. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 149 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 150. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 150 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 151. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 151 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

- 152. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 152 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 153. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 153 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 154. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 154 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 155. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 155 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 156. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 156 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 157. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 157 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 158. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 158 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 159. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 159 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 160. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 160 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

- 161. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 161 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 162. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 162 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 163. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 163 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 164. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 164 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 165. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 165 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 166. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 166 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 167. The allegations contained in paragraph 167 are Plaintiff Zeleny's characterization of his case, to which no answer is required. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, Defendant Becerra admits that Defendant Bertini contacted the California Department of Justice regarding the Entertainment Firearms Permit issued by the California Department of Justice to Plaintiff Zeleny in 2016. An Entertainment Firearms Permit certifies that the California Department of Justice has completed a firearms eligibility check and, as of the date of issuance, there is nothing that would prohibit the named permitholder from the possession of firearms loaned to the permittee for use in a motion picture, television, video, theatrical, or other entertainment production pursuant to California Penal Code §§ 29500 through 29530. As to the

remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

- 168. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 168 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 169. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 169 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 170. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 170 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 171. The allegations contained in paragraph 171 of the Second Amended Complaint are Plaintiff Zeleny's characterization of his case, to which no answer is required. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, Defendant Becerra admits that Defendant Bertini contacted the California Department of Justice regarding the Entertainment Firearms Permit issued by the California Department of Justice to Plaintiff Zeleny in 2016. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 172. The allegations contained in paragraph 172 of the Second Amended Complaint are Plaintiff Zeleny's characterization of his case, to which no answer is required. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, Defendant Becerra admits that Defendant Bertini contacted the California Department of Justice regarding the Entertainment Firearms Permit issued by the California Department of Justice to Plaintiff Zeleny in 2016. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 173. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 173 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

- 174. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 174 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 175. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 175 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 176. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 176 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 177. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 177 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 178. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 178 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 179. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 179 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 180. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 180 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 181. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 181 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 182. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 182 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

- 183. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 183 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 184. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 184 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 185. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 185 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 186. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 186 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

DECLARATORY RELIEF

- 187. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 187 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra denies that an actual, substantial, justiciable, and continuing controversy exists between Plaintiff Zeleny and himself. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 188. The allegations contained in paragraph 188 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 189. The allegations contained in paragraph 189 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

190. The allegations contained in paragraph 190 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, Defendant Becerra admits the content of California Penal Code §§ 26350 and 26400, but denies that those statutes are unconstitutional. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

- 191. The allegations contained in paragraph 191 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, Defendant Becerra admits the content of California Penal Code §§ 25510, 26375, and 26405. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 192. The allegations contained in paragraph 192 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 193. The allegations contained in paragraph 193 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

FIRST COUNT

- 194. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 194 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra repeats his responses to the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-193 as though set forth fully herein.
- 195. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 195 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits the content of the First Amendment to the United States

Constitution and the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. Defendant Becerra contends that the remainder of the paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial.

- 196. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 196 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 197. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 197 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 198. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 198 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 199. The allegations contained in paragraph 199 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, Defendant Becerra denies engaging in any unlawful acts as alleged in paragraph 199. Indeed, the Second Amended Complaint lacks any allegations demonstrating any unlawful acts by Defendant Becerra. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

SECOND COUNT

- 200. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 200 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra repeats his responses to the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-199 as though set forth fully herein.
- 201. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 201 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits the content of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution and the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. Defendant Becerra contends that the remainder of the paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial.

202. The allegations contained in paragraph 202 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

- 203. The allegations contained in paragraph 203 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 204. The allegations contained in paragraph 204 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 205. The allegations contained in paragraph 205 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 206. The allegations contained in paragraph 206 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, Defendant Becerra denies engaging in any unlawful acts as alleged in paragraph 206. Indeed, the Second Amended Complaint lacks any allegations demonstrating any unlawful acts by Defendant Becerra. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

THIRD COUNT

207. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 207 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra repeats his responses to the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-206 as though set forth fully herein.

/ / /

208. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 208 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra admits the content of the First and Second Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. Defendant Becerra contends that the remainder of the paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial.

- 209. The allegations contained in paragraph 209 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 210. The allegations contained in paragraph 210 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial.

 Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny has asserted a facial challenge to California Penal Code §§ 25510, 26375, or 26405. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 211. The allegations contained in paragraph 211 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 212. The allegations contained in paragraph 212 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 213. The allegations contained in paragraph 213 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

- 214. The allegations contained in paragraph 214 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 215. The allegations contained in paragraph 215 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 216. The allegations contained in paragraph 216 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 217. The allegations contained in paragraph 217 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent they may be deemed allegations of fact, Defendant Becerra denies engaging in any unlawful acts as alleged in paragraph 217. Indeed, the Second Amended Complaint lacks any allegations demonstrating any unlawful acts by Defendant Becerra. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

FOURTH COUNT

- 218. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 218 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra repeats his responses to the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-217 as though set forth fully herein.
- 219. The allegations contained in paragraph 219 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

/ / /

- 220. The allegations contained in paragraph 220 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 221. The allegations contained in paragraph 221 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 222. The allegations contained in paragraph 222 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 223. The allegations contained in paragraph 223 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial.

 Defendant Becerra denies engaging in any unlawful acts as alleged in paragraph 223. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 224. The allegations contained in paragraph 224 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial.

 Defendant Becerra denies engaging in any unlawful acts as alleged in paragraph 224. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

FIFTH COUNT

- 225. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 225 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra repeats his responses to the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-224 as though set forth fully herein.
- 226. The allegations contained in paragraph 226 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. As to the

10 11

13 14

12

16 17

15

18 19

21

20

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

- 227. The allegations contained in paragraph 227 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 228. The allegations contained in paragraph 228 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny has asserted a claim under the California Constitution. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 229. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 229 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra denies promulgating policies and/or procedures, conducted under color of state law, that deprived Plaintiff Zeleny of rights secured by the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. The remainder of the paragraph consists of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. To the extent admission or denial is required, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

SIXTH COUNT

- 230. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 230 of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant Becerra repeats his responses to the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-229 as though set forth fully herein.
- 231. The allegations contained in paragraph 231 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

- 232. The allegations contained in paragraph 232 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 233. The allegations contained in paragraph 233 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 234. The allegations contained in paragraph 234 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.
- 235. The allegations contained in paragraph 235 of the Second Amended Complaint consist of legal argument and/or conclusions, which do not require admission or denial. As to the remaining allegations, Defendant Becerra lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations and, therefore, denies the allegations therein.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- A. Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to a declaratory judgment, "pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, binding on all Defendants, that California Penal Code §§ 26400 and 26350 are unconstitutional."
- B. Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to a declaratory judgment, "pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, binding on all Defendants, that California Penal Code §§ 25510, 26375, and 26405 do not require municipal approval of 'authorized participants' in an entertainment event or film or video production, and that Zeleny is legally permitted to carry unloaded firearms in connection with his entertainment events and/or his film or video productions, without the need for City approval, subject to compliance with other applicable laws."

/ / /

- C. Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to a declaratory judgment, "pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, binding on all Defendants, that Zeleny's peaceful carrying of unloaded firearms in the course of his speech on matters of public concern or matters of political, social, or other concerns to the community or issues of significant importance to the public as a whole, is constitutionally protected; in the alternative, enter a declaratory judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, binding on all Defendants, that Zeleny's peaceful carrying of unloaded firearms in the course of his entertainment events and/or his film or video productions, is constitutionally protected."
- D. Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to a declaratory judgment, "pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, binding on the City and Bertini, that Zeleny's speech activity concerning the child rape cover-up by the Zhus and their associates is constitutionally protected and not obscene or 'obscene as to minors' within the meaning of the California Penal Code, and that their public display would not violate Penal Code §§ 311.2, 313.1(a), and 313.4, in virtue of its serious literary, artistic, political, and social value."
- E. Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to a declaratory judgment, "pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, that the City of Menlo Park's Special Event Permit requirement is unconstitutional on its face, or in the alternative, as applied to Zeleny's protests."
- F. Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to an injunction, "against Defendants prohibiting them from enforcing Penal Code §§ 26400 and 26350 against Zeleny in connection with his peaceful protests, from enforcing the City of Menlo Park's Special Event Permit requirement, and from refusing to grant Zeleny required permits based on the content of his speech activity."
- G. Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to a declaratory judgment, "pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201, construing California Penal Code §§ 25510, 26405, and 26375 and enter a declaratory judgment stating that these sections exempt from sanctions under California Penal Code §§ 25400, 26400, and 26350 for the carrying of an unloaded handgun and of an unloaded firearm that is not a handgun, *any individual* who acts and/or holds himself out as an authorized participant in, or serves as an authorized employee or agent of a supplier of

1	firearms for, a motion picture, television or video production, or entertainment event, when the		
2	participant lawfully uses that firearm as part of that production or event, as part of rehearsing or		
3	practicing for participation in that production or event, or while the participant or authorized		
4	employee or agent is at that production or event, or rehearsal or practice for that production or		
5	event. Relevant actions and representations include, without limitation, displaying ornamental		
6	signs or multimedia artworks; reciting slogans, speeches, or poetry; playing trumpets, accordion		
7	bagpipes, or other musical instruments; and/or wearing conspicuous costumes, makeup, wigs,		
8	clown noses, or other decorative prostheses."		
9	H. Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to an award of nominal		
10	damages against Defendant NEA and punitive damages in an amount to be proven at trial.		
11	I. Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to an award of attorneys		
12	fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988 and California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, and costs as		
13	provided by law.		
14	J. Defendant Becerra denies that Plaintiff Zeleny is entitled to an award of any such		
15	other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.		
16	<u>DEFENSES</u>		
17	FIRST DEFENSE		
18	Plaintiff Zeleny fails to state a claim for relief against Defendant Becerra.		
19	SECOND DEFENSE		
20	Plaintiff Zeleny's alleged violation of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States		
21	Constitution (Second Amended Complaint, ¶¶ 225-229) fails because no case or controversy		
22	exists between Plaintiff Zeleny and Defendant Becerra.		
23	THIRD DEFENSE		
24	Plaintiff Zeleny's alleged violation of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States		
25	Constitution (Second Amended Complaint, ¶¶ 225-229) is barred by the Eleventh Amendment.		
26	FOURTH DEFENSE		
27	To the extent that Plaintiff Zeleny alleges a violation of the California Constitution (Second		

Amended Complaint, ¶¶ 225-229), such claim is barred because a federal court may not grant

1 relief against a state official on the basis of state law. Pennhurst State Sch. & Hosp. v. 2 Halderman, 465 U.S. 89 (1984). 3 FIFTH DEFENSE 4 Defendant Becerra has insufficient knowledge on which to form a belief as to whether he 5 may have additional, as yet unstated, defenses available. Defendant Becerra reserves the right to 6 assert additional defenses in the event that discovery indicates such additional defenses are 7 proper. 8 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 9 **WHEREFORE**, Defendant Becerra prays for judgment as follows: 10 1. Plaintiff Zeleny take nothing from Defendant Becerra by way of this action; 2. Plaintiff Zeleny's Second Amended Complaint be dismissed with prejudice, and 11 12 judgment entered in favor of Defendant Becerra; 13 3. Defendant Becerra be awarded costs of suit, and any other relief which the Court 14 deems proper. 15 Dated: September 10, 2019 Respectfully submitted, 16 XAVIER BECERRA 17 Attorney General of California ANTHONY R. HAKL 18 Supervising Deputy Attorney General 19 20 /s/ Noreen P. Skelly 21 NOREEN P. SKELLY Deputy Attorney General 22 Attorneys for Defendant Attorney General Xavier Becerra 23 24 25 26 27 SA2018100198 14068326.docx 28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Case Name:	Zeleny, Michael v. Edmund G.	No.	3:17-cv-07357 RS (NC)	
	Brown, et al.			

I hereby certify that on <u>September 10, 2019</u>, I electronically filed the following documents with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system:

DEFENDANT ATTORNEY GENERAL XAVIER BECERRA'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF MICHAEL ZELENY'S SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

I certify that **all** participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on <u>September 10, 2019</u>, at Sacramento, California.

Eileen A. Ennis	/s/ Eileen A. Ennis	
Declarant	Signature	

SA2018100198 14089274.docx